

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------------------|------------------|
| 09/454,737 | 12/06/1999 | MICHEL PERRICAUDET | 8076.85USC1 | 4183 |
| 23552 | 7590 02/07/2003 | | | |
| MERCHANT & GOULD PC | | | EXAMINER | |
| P.O. BOX 2903 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-0903 | | | GUZO, DAVID | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 1636 DATE MAILED: 02/07/2003 | 27 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|---|--|---|
| Advisory Action | 09/454,737 | PERRICAUDET ET AL. |
| Advisory Action | Examin r | Art Unit |
| | David Guzo | 1636 |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the c | rrespondence address |
| THE REPLY FILED 22 January 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to av final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | roid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely | ition. A proper reply to a n places the application in |
| PERIOD FOR RE | PLY [check either a) or b)] | |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the control of the period of the control | Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH date on which the petition under 37 CFI f extension and the corresponding amothe shortened statutory period for reply of the shortened statutory period for the shortened statutor | g date of the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or |
| (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Officed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.7 | | ing date of the final rejection, even if timely |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF | • | |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be | ecause: | |
| (a) X they raise new issues that would require further | er consideration and/or search (s | see NOTE below); |
| (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b | elow); | |
| (c) they are not deemed to place the application ir issues for appeal; and/or | n better form for appeal by mate | rially reducing or simplifying the |
| (d) they present additional claims without canceling | ng a corresponding number of fi | nally rejected claims. |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. | | |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti | on(s): | |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | be allowable if submitted in a se | parate, timely filed amendment |
| 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See | | dered but does NOT place the |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | ause it is not directed SOLELY to | o issues which were newly |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims wo | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>15,17-19 and 22</u> . | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | |
| 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a | a) approved or b) disapp | roved by the Examiner. |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen | t(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | · |
| 10. Other: | | DAVID GUZO PRIMARY EXAMINER |
| | \mathcal{L} | lavid Lug |

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Applicants' proposed amendment concerning the period of time the polypeptide is expressed in muscle cells raises a new issue requiring further search and consideration.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicants' arguments directed to the claims as amended are most given the non-entry of the instant amendment. Applicants' other arguments are a reiteration of arguments presented previously and fully addressed by the examiner in the Office Action mailed 10/23/02. Briefly, applicants continue to analyze the individual references cited in the outstanding 103(a) rejection in isolation.